IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 8790 OF 2005

Pushpalata S. Vaidya ..Petitioner
vs.
Sadashiv S. Vaidya and anr. ..Defendants

R.T. Lalwani for the petitioner.

CORAM: S.U. KAMDAR, J.

DATE : 23RD DECEMBER, 2005.

P.C. :

1. Respondents are served.

2. M M Associates Advocates and Corporate Counsellors have issued a letter to the advocate for the petitioner that they are served with the said writ petitions. Pursuant to my directions a fresh notice was given yesterday to the Respondent no.1. As far as the bank is concerned the bank is also served. In the aforesaid circumstances I pass the following order.

3. The grievance of the petitioner is that the three applications being C-154/2005, B-39/2005 and

A-1129/2005 pending before the Family court are not heard expeditiously. I am of the opinion that to bring to an end the controversy quickly the said three applications be heard expeditiously by the family court. Interim order granted in the present petition not to encash the India Millenium Bonds which are in the joint names of the petitioner and respondent to continue till disposal of the aforesaid three applications. The application No.39/2005 is for disclosure of whereabouts of the children and Application No. 1129/2005 is for handing over the passport to the petitioner should be heard early by the family court. Accordingly I direct the Family court to dispose off all three applications within a period of three months i.e. on or before 31.3.2005. The Family court is directed to proceed and dispose off the matter even if there is non-cooperation from the respondent no.1. In the mean time the bank is directed not to make payment under the Indian Millenium Bonds to the respondent no.1 and the bank shall abide by the final orders passed by the family court. Petition disposed off accordingly. No order as to costs.

:3:

sd/-

****